

# Contents

Preface	vii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 A brief history of coherentism . . . . .	2
1.2 Two traditional objections to coherentism . . . . .	7
1.2.1 The Input Objection . . . . .	7
1.2.2 Alternative Systems Objection . . . . .	9
1.3 Overview . . . . .	11
<b>2 Epistemic Conservatism</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 The anti-conservative probability argument . . . . .	19
2.2 Conservative Justification & Warranted Assertion . . . . .	26
2.3 Conservatism & Autobiographical Epistemology . . . . .	28
2.4 The Extra Boost and Conversion objections . . . . .	32
2.4.1 The Extra Boost Objection . . . . .	32
2.4.2 Conversion objections . . . . .	33
2.5 Conservatism & the perspectival character of justification . . . . .	36
2.5.1 The argument from perspective . . . . .	36
2.5.2 Two challenges . . . . .	38
2.6 Conclusion . . . . .	41
<b>3 Reasons without first philosophy</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1 The Basic Reasons Dilemma . . . . .	44
3.1.1 The First Horn . . . . .	44
3.1.2 The Second Horn . . . . .	46
3.2 The argument against first philosophy . . . . .	47
3.2.1 The nature of basic reasons . . . . .	47
3.2.2 No First Philosophy . . . . .	50
3.3 Framework Reasons . . . . .	53

3.4	Weak foundationalism & framework reasons . . . . .	58
3.5	Bergmann on foundationalism and epistemic circularity . . . . .	61
3.6	Conclusion . . . . .	64
<b>4</b>	<b>Explanation &amp; Justification</b>	<b>65</b>
4.1	Explanation & its virtues . . . . .	66
4.1.1	Three arguments for primitiveness . . . . .	69
4.1.2	The virtues of explanation . . . . .	75
4.2	An explanationist theory of justification . . . . .	80
4.2.1	The goal . . . . .	80
4.2.2	The Ex-J account . . . . .	81
4.2.3	Ex-J & Mentalism . . . . .	85
4.2.4	Ex-J & Evidentialism . . . . .	86
4.2.5	Ex-J & Propositional and doxastic justification . . . . .	88
4.3	Supporting Cases & Putative Counterexamples . . . . .	89
4.3.1	Case by case comparison . . . . .	90
4.3.2	Putative Counterexamples . . . . .	96
4.4	Conclusion . . . . .	102
<b>5</b>	<b>BonJour and the myth of the given</b>	<b>103</b>
5.1	BonJour's Anti-foundationalist Argument & The Sellarsian Dilemma	104
5.2	BonJour's New Foundationalism . . . . .	108
5.2.1	BonJour's nonconceptualism . . . . .	108
5.2.2	Nonconceptualism & Justification . . . . .	111
5.3	The Phenomenal Concept Strategy . . . . .	114
5.4	Conclusion . . . . .	119
<b>6</b>	<b>Is foundational a priori justification indispensable?</b>	<b>121</b>
6.1	BonJour's indispensability arguments . . . . .	122
6.1.1	Observation-transcendent inference . . . . .	123
6.1.2	Putative a priori truths and the parade argument . . . . .	125
6.1.3	Reasoning . . . . .	128
6.1.4	Taking Stock . . . . .	130
6.2	BonJour's anti-coherence objection . . . . .	130
6.2.1	Explanationism & Noncontradiction . . . . .	131
6.2.2	Coherence & Circularity . . . . .	131
6.2.3	Coherence & Presupposition . . . . .	133
6.3	Coherence & Awareness . . . . .	136
6.4	Conclusion . . . . .	138

<b>7 Bayesian explanationism</b>	<b>141</b>
7.1 Bayesianism . . . . .	142
7.1.1 An introduction to Bayesianism . . . . .	143
7.1.2 Arguments for Bayesianism . . . . .	145
7.1.3 Examples of Bayesian Unification . . . . .	152
7.1.4 Summary . . . . .	157
7.2 Are Bayesianism and IBE compatible? . . . . .	158
7.2.1 Van Fraassen's incompatibility Argument . . . . .	158
7.2.2 The Heuristic view . . . . .	160
7.3 Bayesian explanationism . . . . .	164
7.4 Explaining or Representing? . . . . .	169